Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Balanced Scorecard and Quarter 4 Performance report 2017-18		
Report No:	PAS/FH/18/014		
Report to and dates:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	31 May 2018	
Portfolio holder:	Councillor Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01799 530325 Email: stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk		
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Assistant Director (Resources and Performance) Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	Scorecards being use performance for 20	the West Suffolk Balanced ed to measure the Council's 17-18 and an overview of those indicators for the final	
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee:		
	performance using Quarter 4, 2017-18 information required	sted to review the Council's Balanced Scorecards for and identify any further or make recommendations on or attention is required to performance.	

Key Decision:		Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?			
(Check the appropriate	Yes it is	Yes, it is a Key Decision - \square			
box and delete all those that do not apply.)		No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠			
тас <u>ио пос</u> арргу.)	', '		-,		
			This report has been prepared in consultation with all relevant staff and		
		Leadership Team.			
Alternative option(s):		pod can	 The option of doing nothing may result in poor performance, monitoring performance can highlight where remedial action may be needed 		
Implications:					
Are there any financial implications?			Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give details		While there are no direct financial or budget implications arising from this report, it is possible that any recommendations of the Committee may have some resource implications. For example, resources may need to be reallocated to improve performance in a future period.			
Are there any staffing implications?		Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give details		Voc D No M			
Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details		Yes □ No ⊠			
Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details		Yes □ No ☒ • There are no legal implications from this report. Poor performance levels may impact on the Council's ability to implement its policies or high-level strategies.			
Are there any equality implications?		Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give details		•			
Risk/opportunity assessment:		t:	(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)		
Risk area	Inherent le risk (before controls)	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)	
	Low/Medium/	High*		Low/Medium/ High*	
Failure to achieve optimum or target performance which may impact on resources	High		Regular reporting of performance to Joint Leadership Team, Portfolio Holders and to PASC can highlight where remedial action may be needed.	Medium	
Ward(s) affected:		All Ward			

Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)	PASC - May 2017 - Item 8 - Balanced Scorecard Targets 2017-18
Documents attached:	Appendix A – Resources and Performance Balanced Scorecard Appendix B – Families and
	Communities Balanced Scorecard Appendix C – Human Resources,
	Legal and Democratic Balanced Scorecard
	Appendix D – Planning and Regulatory Balanced Scorecard
	Appendix E – Operations Balanced Scorecard

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 **Performance Measures**

- 1.1.1 Attached at **appendices A** to **E** are the current Balanced Scorecards (based on Assistant Director area) which present Quarter 4 2017/18 performance. Unless otherwise stated, all performance figures on the scorecards are from a West Suffolk perspective. Where the performance for either individual Council is significantly different from the West Suffolk figure that it would have a different Red-Amber-Green (RAG) rating, details of this are highlighted in the comments box.
- 1.1.2 The information included in the report has been provided by Assistant Directors and service managers. Most indicators report performance against an agreed target using a traffic light system with additional commentary provided for performance indicators below optimum performance. Other KPIs report a data value only (e.g. no target performance) in order to track performance over time.

1.2 **Quarter 4 Performance**

1.2.1 Within Resources and Performance, the "% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days" corporate indicator across both councils shows we have achieved positive results in the final quarter, reporting 93.54% of invoices paid within 30 days. The finance and performance team will continue to work with service areas to try and improve performance against this indicator to achieve the 95% target, with monthly business intelligence reports being sent out with details of all invoices processed.